

7 th INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS

2 - 9 - JULY - 2014 - VALENCIA

Neutrino Phenomenology:

Highlights of oscillation results and future prospects

Srubabati Goswami

Physical Research Laboratory Ahmedabad, India



Evolution of Neutrino Physics

D The impossible dreams







To the unreachable stars

First detection of ultra-high energy neutrinos of extra-terrestrial origin by the ICECUBE experiment



Neutrino Oscillations

- Neutrinos are weakly interacting unlike...
- But they mix with their friends..



So much so that they get confused who they are ...





Neutrino Oscillations

If neutrinos have mass then s



• This leads to neutrino flavour oscillation in vacuum

$$P(\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4\sum_{i>j} \operatorname{Re}[U_{\alpha i}^{*}U_{\alpha j}U_{\beta i}U_{\beta j}^{*}]\sin^{2}\Delta_{ij} - 2\sum_{i>j} \operatorname{Im}[U_{\alpha i}^{*}U_{\alpha j}U_{\beta i}U_{\beta j}^{*}]\sin 2\Delta_{ij}$$

$$\Delta_{ij} = \Delta m_{ij}^2 L / 4E \qquad \Delta m_{ij}^2 = m_i^2 - m_j^2 \qquad \overline{v} : U \to U^*$$

- Interaction with matter modifies the mass, mixing and probability
- Probabilities are obtained by solving propagation equation in matter
- Depends on density profile of matter

Three Neutrino Parameters

3 masses, 3 mixing angles and 1 Dirac +2 Majorana phases



- Solution experiments sensitive to mass squared differences $\Delta m_{21}^2 = m_2^2 - m_1^2$, $\Delta m_{31}^2 = m_3^2 - m_1^2$
- Two possible mass orderings



Oscillation experiments not sensitive to Majorana phases



A snapshot of the oscillation experiments



New data in 2014

New data from reactor experiments Double-Chooz, Daya-bay, Reno

- Excess around 5 MeV in RENO and Double-Chooz
- New data from ICECUBE, MINOS+, SK4 atmospheric
- SK4 1306 day energy and zenith spectrum for solar
- **D** T2K disappearance data

Talks by M. Schiozawa, H. Sekiya (SK), J. Haser (Double-CHOOZ, W. Wang(Daya-Bay), in ICHEP 2014

Status of oscillation parameters (post-Nu2014)



Parameter	Best fit	Precision(%)
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	$0.304^{+0.012}_{-0.012}$	4
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	$0.451^{+0.001}_{-0.001} \oplus 0.577^{+0.027}_{-0.035}$	7.5
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	$0.0219\substack{+0.0010\\-0.0011}$	5
$\frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{10^{-5} eV^2}$	$7.50^{+0.19}_{-0.17}$	2.3
$\frac{\Delta m_{31}^2}{10^{-3} eV^2}$ (N)	$+2.458^{+0.002}_{-0.002}$	2
$\frac{\Delta m_{32}^2}{10^{-3} eV^2}$	$-2.448^{+0.047}_{-0.047}$	2
δ_{CP} / ⁰	251^{+67}_{-59}	

No hierarchy sensitivity $\chi^2(NH) - \chi^2(IH) < 1$

Oscillation parameters at a glance (2014)



Status of θ_{23}



Status of θ_{23} : Interplay of data

Capozzi et al. 1312.2878

Forero et al 1405.7540



Preference for lower octant for NH driven by SK atm

$$\theta_{_{23}}$$
 is still unstable



Status of δ_{CP} : interplay of different experiments

Capozzi et al. 1312.2878





Continued hint for $\delta_{CP} \sim 1.5\pi$ Driven mainly by T2K appearance data Also SuperK atmospheric data

Progress since ICHEP – 2012

ICHEP 2012

Parameter	Best fit	Precision(%)
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	0.3	4
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	0.42	11
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	0.023	10
$\Delta m_{21}^2 [10^{-5} eV^2]$	7.50	2.4
$\Delta m_{31}^2 [10^{-3} eV^2]$	2.45	2.8
$ \Delta m_{32}^2 [10^{-3}eV^2]$	2.43	2.8

ICHEP 2014

Parameter	Best fit	Precision(%)
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	$0.304\substack{+0.012\\-0.012}$	4
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	$0.451^{+0.001}_{-0.001} \oplus 0.577^{+0.027}_{-0.035}$	7.5
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	$0.0219\substack{+0.0010\\-0.0011}$	5
$\frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{10^{-5} eV^2}$	$7.50\substack{+0.19 \\ -0.17}$	2.3
$\frac{\Delta m_{31}^2}{10^{-3} \mathrm{gV}^2} (N)$	$+2.458^{+0.002}_{-0.002}$	2
$\frac{\Delta m_{32}^2}{10^{-3} eV^2}(1)$	$-2.448^{+0.047}_{-0.047}$	2
$\delta_{CP} / ^0$	251^{+67}_{-59}	

Nu-fit 2014

M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, ICHEP 2012

Improvement in precision of θ_{13} Improvement in precision of θ_{23} Hint for $\delta_{CP} \sim 1.5\pi$

Precision still not as good as quark sector

The main unknowns

The absolute mass scale of neutrinos

Are neutrinos their own antiparticles

Experiments to probe this

Talk by Manfred Lindner

The neutrino mass hierarchy

The octant of the 2-3 mixing angle

CP violation in the lepton sector

Are there sterile neutrinos

Can be probed in Oscillation Experiments

What is the mechanism of neutrino mass generation

What explains the pattern of neutrino mixing

Is low energy CP violation related to leptogenesis

Note on referencing: Some current results, initial works and ICHEP talks .Not complete (My sincere apologies) , http://www.nu.to.infn.it/

Future Experiments for hierarchy, octant and CP

Current Generation Superbeam Experiments

- T2K: Tokai to Kamioka, 295 km . 0.76 GeV , 0.75 MW , Detector: SuperK , taking data
- NOvA: FNAL to Ash River, 810 km, 1.7 GeV, 0.7 MW, 14 kt TASD detector, commissioning

Next generation Superbeam experiments

T2HK: JPARC to Kamioka, detector: HyeperK, 1.6 Mw

LBNO : CERN to Phyasalami , 2290 km, 0.77MW, Detector: 24 kt LArTPC

LBNE : FNAL-LEAD , 1300km, 0.7 MW, Detector , Detector: 34 kt LiqArTPC

ESS: European Spallation source Linac , configurations under study , 540 km, 2 GeV

Proton decay at rest experiments

DAE δ DALUS : low energy, low distance (50 MeV, 20 km)

Reactor Experiments

JUNO (China), RENO50 (Korea), reactor neutrinos, 50 km

Future Atmospheric Neutrino Experiments



Atmospheric neutrinos Provide a broad L/E band Magnetized Iron Detector (Prototype: INO)

- ⊳ 50 100 kT
- Excellent Muon energy measurement, direction reconstruction and & charge discrimination capability

Can determine the neutrino energy through Hadron shower reconstruction

- Megaton Water Cerenkov Detector (HK, MEMPHYS)
 - ► Large volume (~ Mega Ton)
 - SK-type detector with no charge ID
 - Both electron and muon events can be used
- Liquid Argon detector (ICARUS) ► Time projection chamber
 - Both electron and muon events can be used, charge ID for both?
- (IceCube, PINGU)
 - ► Huge Volume (Multi-Mton)

The survival and oscillation probabilities



Hierarchy sensitivity: T2K/NOVA



Hierarchy Sensitivity: LBNE/LBN0



Hierarchy Sensitivity: The Bi-magic baseline



Resonant matter effect at large baselines



Hierarchy Sensitivity : Atmospheric Neutrinos (INO)

Incorporation of Hadron information : event by event analysis in $E_{\mu}, \cos \theta_{\mu}, E_{had}$ Improves the hierarchy sensitivity significantly : 40 % increase in χ^2



 3σ sensitivity in 10 years for $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}(true) = 0.1$ Hierarchy sensitivity more for higher octant and higher θ_{13}

$$P_{e\mu} \sim \sin^2 \theta_{23} \sin^2 2\theta_{13}$$

Hierarchy sensitivity : Hyper-Kamiokande



Sensitive to both electrons and muons

 3σ sensitivity in approximately in 5 years for $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.08$

Hierarchy sensitivity : PINGU



Hierarchy Sensitivity: Atmospheric + LBL



Ghosh, Ghoshal, S.G., Raut, 2013. Ghosh, Thakur, Choubey, 2013, Blennow, Schwetz, 2012

Hierarchy Sensitivity : reactor neutrinos



Sensitivity to mass hierarchy: reactor neutrinos



Y.Takaesu et al. JHEP05(2013)131

Talk by L. Zhan. ICHEP 2014

Hierarchy sensitvity in future experiments

Hierarchy sensitvity of NOvA atmospheric experiments A

After Blenow et al. 1311.1822

NOvA δ_{CP} Atmospheric θ_{23} INO/SK $40^{\circ} - 50^{\circ}$ Pingu : $38.7^{\circ} - 51.3^{\circ}$ NoVA : $3\nu + 3\overline{\nu}$ INO : arXiv:1406.3689 HK : arXiv 1109.3262 PINGU: arXiv 1401.2046

The lower end of the bands denote worst sensitivity Pingu/HK huge statistics help for higher 2-3 angle

For favourable CP values early hint from Nova and for unfavourable CP values from NOvA + INO

Different statistical procedure followed by different groups

Octant Degeneracy

Octant degeneracy and δ_{CP}

Octant Sensitivity: Atmospheric Neutrinos

Octant Sensitivity : atmospheric + LBL

Synergy between atmospheric and LBL experiments increase octant sensitivity

Choubey and Ghosh, 2013

Precision of 1-3 mixing angle plays a very important role

Ghosh. Ghosal, Goswami, Raut, 2013

CP violation in neutrino oscillations

• CP violation due to the phase δ_{CP}

$$P_{\mu e} - P_{\overline{\mu e}} = 4s_{12}c_{12}s_{13}c_{13}^2s_{23}c_{23}\sin\delta_{CP}[\sin\frac{\Delta m_{21}^2L}{2E} + \sin\frac{\Delta m_{23}^2L}{2E} + \sin\frac{\Delta m_{31}^2L}{2E}]$$

Genuine three flavour effect : require all angles and Δm_{21}^2 to be non-zero NOVA T2K(5+0)+NOvA(5+5) 1.25 $A_{CP} = \frac{P_{\mu e} - P_{\overline{\mu e}}}{P_{\mu e} + P_{\overline{\mu e}}} \sim \frac{\sin \delta_{CP}}{\sin \theta_{13}}$ 16 $\delta_{CP}^{=-90}$ ______ $\delta_{CP}^{=0}$ ______ $\delta_{CP}^{=90}$ ______ $\begin{array}{l} \delta_{cp}=-90^{c}\\ \delta_{cp}=90^{o} \end{array}$ Fixed NF E=1.5 GeV 14 1 Fixed θ_{13} 12 0.75 $\chi^2 \sim \frac{P(\delta_{CP})\sin^2 2\theta_{13}}{Q\sin^2 \theta_{13} + R(\delta_{CP})\sin 2\theta_{13}} \approx$ 10 A_{CP} 0.5 0.25 4 Current θ_{13} range optimal 2 0 0 0.04 0.08 0.16 0.2 0 0.12 0 5 10 15 20 25 30 $\sin^2 2\theta_{12}$ Ghosh, Ghoshal, Goswami, Raut 2014 θ_{13} (True)

δ_{CP} in long-baseline experiments

CP sensitivity : atmospheric + LBL

Adding hierarchy information from INO-ICAL data increases the CP sensitvity in wrong hierarchy region

50% increase in CP coverage

For unfavorable CP values first hint of non-zero $\delta_{\rm CP}\,$ may come after adding ICAL data

(also true for other atmospheric experiments) Ghosh,Ghosal, Goswami, Raut (2013),

\mathcal{S}_{CP} in Long-Baseline experiments

More than 5σ for some fraction of CP phases

See also talks by M. Dracos (ESS), M. Shaevitz (DAE SDALUS), H. Tanaka (HK)

Status of sterile neutrinos oscillations

Evidences

- $\overline{v}_{\mu} \overline{v}_{e}$ oscillations reported in LSND and MiniBOONE
- Reactor Anomaly : Recalculated reactor fluxes are 3.5% more than the previous calculation
- Ga Anomaly : Deficit of V_{ρ} from ^{51}Cr and ^{37}Ar sources in the

reaction ${}^{71}Ga + v_e \rightarrow {}^{71}Ge + e^-$

Future Projects :

Talks by L.Stanco, M. Shaevitz, B. David, W. Ketchum, J. Lagrange, ICHEP 2014

Status of sterile neutrino fits

J. Kopp, Neutirno 2014

Appearance data can be fit in all three schemes 3+2 and 1+3+1 better

Severe tension when disappearance data is added

> Kopp, Machado, Maltoni, Schwetz, Giunti-et al. Conrad et al.

Two fundamental questions

• Why neutrino masses are so small ?

fermion masses

Why neutrino mixing angles so different ?

Quark Mixing angles Neutrino mixing angles

 $egin{aligned} & heta_{12} = 13^{0} \ & heta_{23} = 2.3^{0} \ & heta_{13} = 0.2^{0} \ & heta_{13} = 68.5^{0} \end{aligned}$

$$egin{aligned} & heta_{12} = 33.5^{o} \ & heta_{23} = 49^{0} \ & heta_{13} = 8.5^{0} \ & heta_{13} = 250^{0} \end{aligned}$$

Seesaw, Radiative mass generation, Seesaw + radiative Flavour symmetries, Anarchy TBM, QLC ...

Seesaw and its implications : Neutrino connections

Is there a case for precision measurements ?

Models from 0905.0146 (Albright) that survive the present 3σ range

24 Models survive out of 86

54 new models ! (incomplete survey) Flavour boom Difficult to beat theoreticians

Bambhanya, Ghosh (2014)

Prediction for δ_{CP}

Bambhanya, Ghosh (2014)

Liao, Marfatia, Whisnant, 2014

Concluding Remarks

- Impressive progress in determination of neutrino oscillation parameters
- Discovery of θ_{13} has opened the avenues for determination of mass hierarchy, octant of θ_{23} and δ_{CP}
- Many future experiments
- The findings of the current generation experiments will be crucial input
- Synergistic aspects between various experiments important
- Precision measurements help in disfavouring models but also many new models
- Parameter correlation may be important ...

Finally...

Acknowledgement:

- G. Bambhanya, M. Ghosh, P. Ghoshal, C. Gupta N. Nath, S, Raut
- S. Agarwalla, D. Cowen, A. Ghosh, E. Lisi, M. Maltoni, S. Seo, T. Thakur

INO-ICAL Detector

Backup Slides

ICAL factsheet

No of modules	3
Module dimension	16 m X 16 m X 14.4m
Detector dimension	48.4 m X 16 m X 14.4m
No of layers	150
Iron plate thickness	5.6cm
Gap for RPC trays	4 cm
Magnetic field	1.4 Tesla
RPC unit dimension	195 cm x 184 cm x 2.4 cm
Readout strip width	3 cm
No. of RPCs/Road/Layer	8
No. of Roads/Layer/Module	8
No. of RPC units/Layer	192
Total no of RPC units	28800
No of Electronic channels	3.7 X 10 ⁶

.

Parameter Precision : Future Projections

S

	Current	e.g JUNO
Δm_{12}^2	~3%	~0.5%
Δm_{23}^2	~4%	~0.6%
sin ² θ_{12}	~7%	~0.7%
sin ² θ_{23}	~15%	N/A
sin ² θ_{13}	~6%→ ~4%	~ 15%

L. Wen, talk at Nu2014

True $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	T2K (5ν)	NO ν A $(3\nu + 3\bar{\nu})$	$T2K + NO\nu A$
0.36	1.53%	2.33%	$1.24\% (2.41^{+0.09}_{-0.09})$
0.50	1.16%	1.45%	$0.87\%~(2.41^{+0.07}_{-0.06})$
0.66	1.53%	2.26%	$1.24\% (2.41^{+0.09}_{-0.09})$

Agarwalla, Prakash, Wang, arXiv:1312.1477 [hep-ph]

ΗK

True in ² θ ₂₃	1 σ error sin ² θ ₂₃	$1\sigma error \Delta m^2{}_{32}$ (/10 ⁻⁵ eV ²)
0.45	0.006	1.4
0.50	0.015	1.4
0.55	0.009	1.5

H.A. Tanaka, ICHEP 2014