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STATUS SUMMARY ON ICAL DETECTOR, ELECTRONICS AND DAQ SYSTEMS
Setting up of RPC labs

RPC labs were setup or being setting up at:
- BARC (Varchaswi), PU (Bhandari), IITM (Raveendra), DU (Sunil), AMU, BHU etc. apart from TIFR, VECC and SINP.

Shouldn’t there be uniformity among them in terms of the electronics and DAQ software etc?
- May be yes; easier to support.
- Is already the case gas systems, DAQ hardware, paddles etc.

Getting equipped/trained for QC of RPC production

Common requirements:
- Spacers/nozzles, paint, pickup panels, front-end electronics.
- MOQs high, pooling of requirements is a solution.
- Good case for ECIL’s role in case of electronics.
What is the QC scheme for the RPCs?

- No serious thinking on this yet on:
  - the parameters to be tested, procedures to be followed etc.
  - Long-term tests on a sample of production

- Multiple stations, high throughput needed
  - For example: 10000 RPCs, 200 days/year, 2 years, 3 stations → ~10 day/station

- Cosmic tests even with RPC stack might be too slow

- Radioactive scanners is a solution

- Opportunity to contribute, design and production of multiple units
Gas optimisation studies

- Gas flow for five RPCs (2m×2m) in new lab is reduced to 0.29 SCCM (1 volume change per 19 days).
- Long-term performance studies on these RPCs is in progress.
- At present, gas flow for the RPC (1mx1m) stack in C217 lab is 2.25 SCCM (1.6 volume change per day) i.e. 1 volume change per 0.62 days.
Closed loop gas system

- Performance of close loop recirculation depends on:
  - Maintaining pressure balance
  - Flow rate
  - Efficiency of purification process
  - Leak integrity of RPC

NOTE: 1) MOC of all metallic parts in contact with gases; SS 316, Connections; 1/4” VCR, Tube; Seamless 2) PC based Master control and graphic display, not shown, is included in the scope of supply.
Industry, academia interface

- Glass, RPC production: Asahi India Glass, Asahi Architectural and Auto Industry
- Graphite coating: Grafica Flextronica
- Gas Systems: Alpha Pneumatics
- RPC fabrication, automation tools: Global Engineering Technologies Packaging
- Development and detailed engineering design report on industrial production of RPC, vendor development: Walchand Industries
- Gas distribution system and flow dynamics of RPC, Gas Purification System in RPC system for INO: ICT
- Tata Consultancy Engineers
- ICAL building: Plazma Industries
- RPC production, electronics design and fabrication, power supplies: ECIL
R&D and studies with RPC

- Development of 6-gap MRPC: Moon Moon Devi
- Study of angular distribution of cosmic ray muons, directionality and measurement of integrated flux: Sumanta Pal
- Simulation studies on the Effect of SF$_6$ in the RPC gas mixture: Salim Mohammed
- Bakelite surface tomography studies: Nayana Majumdar
- Background radiation studies using demo RPC: R.R.Shinde
Testing of FE ASIC on RPCs

- Minimum threshold values possible with AP2 and AP1 boards is at $V_{38} = 1.650V$. So the effective threshold is $\sim 250mV$.
- Obtained stable noise rates with both boards.
- Noise rates with HMC based preamplifier is double to those obtained with AP2.
- Efficiency with AP2 board is about half compared to what was obtained with HMC based board.
- Operating gain $4mV/\mu A$ as against the design value $8mV/\mu A$ due to instability problem while the multiplexer is turned on.
- Design revisions by the CMEMS group
  - Gearing for a second iteration production
  - Separate chips for positive and negative inputs as well as amplifier and discriminator might anyway solve this problem.
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Networked DAQ scheme

- Alternate to the “conventional” VME backend scheme
- The idea:
  - RPC module gets the capability to “acquire” and store its own data. Call this “Level 0” data
  - One “data concentrator server”, (one per N number of RPC's) collects RPC data, by either push or pull method, on master trigger. Call this “Level 1” data
  - One higher “Level 2” machine reads all “level 1” data
- Benefits:
  - Simple, standard, cheaper, hierarchical scheme
  - Software development is easier
  - Simpler data cabling (because terminated on local hubs)
  - Interrupt driven data acquisition (level 0), client-server based DAQ (level 1), monitoring and slow control are all handled this way
  - Complete testing of RPC with a laptop and a HV/LV supply
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Current work on data interface

- Altera DE2 kit with Cyclone II EP2C35 FPGA
- FPGA configured with NIOS II system using SOPC Builder a part of Altera Quartus
- Wiznet W5300 interfaced to NIOS II in port mapped I/O mode
- Software developed in NIOS II EDS IDE in C
- Established two way communication between PC and Wiznet test setup.
Wiznet to FPGA Interface
ARM9 Development kit

- Likely candidate for CPU to go on RPC: ARM9
- One development kit ARM9 (Samsung make),
  - 64MB memory,
  - 1GB storage (NAND Flash),
  - 34 GPIO pins
  - User input pins, interrupts
  - Serial console
  - 100BaseT Network Interface
- Runs Linux 2.6
Alternative, Network based DAQ for INO ICAL

- Schematic showing components for Network based DAQ
- 16 RPC’s to one “RPC subnet”, because of constraints on dimensions of front end switch
## Specifications of the ICAL timing device

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Number of channels</td>
<td>8 or 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Least count</td>
<td>200ps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Dynamic range</td>
<td>2µs (essential), 32µs (desirable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Number of bits</td>
<td>14 (essential), 18 (desirable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Type</td>
<td>Common stop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Hits</td>
<td>Single hit (essential), multi hit (desirable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Double hit resolution</td>
<td>5-10ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Readout buffer size</td>
<td>128 words (maximum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Signal and control inputs</td>
<td>LVDS and LVTTL respectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. DNL/INL</td>
<td>100ps (typical)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Power rail</td>
<td>3.0 to 3.6V (suggested)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Control and readout interface</td>
<td>SPI (essential), SPI + parallel (desirable)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Place-holder for TDC on RPC-DAQ prototype
Integrated Circuits for the INO (Nagendra)

- **Time to digital converter (TDC)**
  - Taped out: Jan 16th
  - Expected: mid-May

- **Front end amplifier**
  - DC gain of 41.5 dB
  - Bandwidth of 513 MHz
  - Power 0.2 mW power.
  - Technology UMC 0.13 µm CMOS process.
  - Schematic design complete
  - To do: Layout, post-layout simulations

- **Analog memory+backend ADC**
  - Preliminary schematic design done
  - To do: Complete design and integrate with the ADC

- **Technology used**: UMC 0.13µm CMOS
The design is implemented in 0.13 μm CMOS process.

The TDC has a resolution of 125 ps

Dynamic range of 131 μs.

It occupies 0.24 mm² area and consumes negligible static power.

The DLL occupies 0.12 mm² area and consumes a power of 2 mW.
Role of waveform sampler for ICAL

- Walk correction of TDC data
- Leading edge discriminator
- Time over threshold information
- Pulse profile, height and width monitoring
- Remote display of RPC signals
Consolidation of its function

- Better understood DRS4 – thanks to the *demo RPC* project!
- Not sure though, if we understood the exact role and hence its exact operation scheme ICAL electronics
- Do we use in both event and monitoring modes?
- The above required different front-ends for the analog front-ends
  - Multiplexed analog signal
    - Easier to implement?
    - Timing problem in event mode
  - Summed/ORed analog signal
    - Relatively difficult to implement?
    - No timing issue, hit channel id could be inferred
- Where is the chip?
Event data rates

- Event Data per Trigger is as follows: (64 strips on each plane of RPC)
- TDC data = 1 channel for 8 strips and both the edges per hit, up to 4 hits per channel per event = 16 channels x 2 edges x 4 hits x 16 bits = 2048 bits
- Hit data per RPC = 128 bits
- RPC ID = 32 bits
- Event ID = 32 bits
- Time Stamp = 64 bits
- DRS data = 16 channels x 1000 samples x 16 bits = 256000 bits
- (DRS data comes in event data only if we get summed analog outputs from the preamplifier)
- Data size per event per RPC
  - With DRS data, \( D_R = 2048 + 128 + 32 + 32 + 64 + 256000 = 258,304 \) bits
  - Without DRS data, \( D_R = 2048 + 128 + 32 + 32 + 64 = 2,304 \) bits
- Considering 1Hz trigger rate, Maximum Data Rate at each RPC = 252.25 kbps

- Total data size per event across 3 modules
  - With DRS data, \( D_T = 258304 \times 28800 = 7,439,155,200 \) bits i.e. 6.928 Gbits
  - Without DRS data, \( D_T = 2304 \times 28800 = 66,355,200 \) bits i.e. 63.28125 Mbits
  - Considering 1Hz trigger rate, Maximum Data Rate at backend = 6.928 Gbps
Monitor data rates

- Monitor Data per 10 seconds
- We require to monitor 1 pick-up strip per plane per RPC.
- Monitor Data per strip = 24 bits
- Channel ID = 8 bits
- RPC ID = 32 bits
- Mon Event ID = 32 bits
- Ambient Sensors’ data = 3 x 16 bits = 48 bits
- Time Stamp = 64 bits
- DRS data = 1000 pulses (if noise rate is 100Hz) x 16 bits x 100 samples = 1600000 bits
  (DRS data comes in monitoring data only if we get multiplexed analog outputs from the preamplifier)

- Data size per 10 seconds RPC
  - With DRS data = 24 + 8 + 32 + 32 + 48 + 64 + 2048 + 1600000 = 1,602,256 bits
  - Without DRS data = 24 + 8 + 32 + 32 + 48 + 64 + 2048 = 2,256 bits
  - Max Data Rate for 10 second monitoring period per RPC = 156.47 kbps
Trigger scheme for ICAL

- Validation of the trigger schemes; document in good shape
- Ready to go for implementation
- Integration issues
  - Segment trigger module positions
  - Pre-trigger signal driving issues
- Specifications:
  - Coincidence window: 100ns
  - Maximum trigger latency: 1us
  - Singles rate for RPC detector pickup strips: 250 Hz
  - The skew and jitter in arrival instant of the global trigger at different RPCs should be as low possible
- BARC team (Anita Behere et al) joined the trigger team for implementation
- Document on the implementation scheme is not yet place
Trigger implementation

- Trigger scheme developed and validated
- Layout of trigger scheme implementation
- Study of LVDS transmission
- Conceptual design of the trigger modules
- FPGA logic development
- Validation of design concept in FTM
Software components

- RPC-DAQ controller firmware
- Backend online DAQ system
- Local and remote shift consoles
- Data packing and archival
- Event and monitor display panels
- Event data quality monitors
- Slow control and monitor consoles
- Database standards
- Plotting and analysis software standards
- OS and development platforms
Software

- BARC team (Diwakar, Padmini et al) joined the software team
- Backend Data Acquisition and Monitoring System
  - Event Data Acquisition
  - Periodic Online Monitoring of RPC Parameters
  - Event Data Quality Monitoring
  - Control and Monitoring Console
  - Local and Remote Consoles
- Front-end firmware/software will be responsibility of the TIFR group
- Scope for more players (especially physicists)
- Technical document which will form part of the ICAL Electronics TDR initiated
Power Supply

- All components studied so far require only a single supply voltage
  - In fact this has to be design constraint, considering integration issues

- If the maximum voltage required by any component is 3.3V, then we can run a 5V bus around the system, and use onboard regulators to derive required voltages
Power Usage

- Power estimate for major components
  - FPGA: Roughly 1.5W
  - HPTDC: In low resolution low power mode, 40MHz clock and with TTL inputs: 0.45W
  - Wiznet W5300: Auto-negotiation of internal PHY: 0.825W
  - DRS4: @ 6GSPS: 0.35W
  - Total estimated power is less than 5W (3.125W), i.e. less than 2A @ 3.3V

- If we have a bus voltage of 5V, then regulators drop 1.7V and waste less than 4W of power
- Thus total power dissipated is less than 10W
Power Usage/Dissipation

- Preamplifiers: 16W (spread across 4 mtrs)
- RPC-DAQ: 10W (from a small area)
- Total: 26W

- If supply is 6V, then current per RPC is 4.33A
- Current for 4 RPCs is 17.33A
- A 2 core power cable for 27A is 10.5mm thick
Power supply options

- **High voltage (Central scheme)**
  - Two options: a channel at 12kV or two channels at ±6kV
  - Consider powering 4 RPC with a single HV channel (10μA current)
  - Cable diameter an integration issue, connectors a cost issue

- **High voltage (Distributed scheme)**
  - DC-DCHV converters
  - Each RPC has to be identical to the others
  - Each RPC will have its own DC-HVDC converter for generating HV and LV

- **Low voltage**
  - Power budget 25W per RPC
  - How many low voltages?

- **Space for DC-DC converters inside the RPC unit** an integration issue

- **Magnetic field**
  - Fringe field mostly is below 100 Gauss
  - But some places between 100 and 1000 Gauss
  - Difference between 100 and 1000 Gauss is relevant for the design of the DC-HVDC
RPC Layout
Fighting for an mm in a $132\text{m} \times 26\text{m} \times 20\text{m}$ cavern!
Front View

Dimensions:
- 30 units
- 25 units
- 19 units
- 29 units
- 125 units
Preamplifier Board
Flat Flexible Cables
Issues continued..

- Cable routing along the RPC
  - Following cabling from front-panel of RPC to back-panel
    - 3 Ethernet cables, i.e. 6 twisted pairs, dia. = 5.6mm
      - 2 RJ45 sockets, on front 16mm x 14mm (min size)
    - 36 pairs of digital I/O, overall dia. = 9.9mm
      - No suitable connector found
    - 2 core power cable rated for 15A, overall dia. = 8mm
    - 6 HV cables
Cables in the Cable Tray

1. Power cables for the FE
   • 24 cables of 2 core wires rated at 20A, for 24 half roads
     ○ Finolex Flexible Cables, PVC insulation, dia = 10.5mm

2. Digital I/O from backend to FE
   • \((4 \text{ pre-trigger out} + 1 \text{ trigger in} + 4 \text{ calibration} + 2 \text{ clocks} + 1 \text{ spare})\times 4 \text{ RPCs} = 48\)
   • 24 cables of 48 twisted pairs for 24 half roads
     ○ 3M Round, Shielded/Jacketed, Discrete Cable, 50 pairs, dia = 11.2mm

3. Network Connection from backend to FE for data
   • 12 cables of 2 core fiber optic cable, 4mm x 2mm

4. HV Connection
   1. 192 cables rated at 6kV, for dual HV supply of 96 RPCs
Populating the Cable Tray

24 Cables for digital I/O to 24 ½ roads

24 Cables for power to 24 ½ roads
Populating the Cable Tray another way

24 Cables for digital I/O to 24 ½ roads

24 Cables for power to 24 ½ roads
Cable Tray with 200mm dimension
Place for switches – laying claim

Only place possible to mount "Front end switches", and with max. length of about 220mm Requesting Integration group to note our claim!
Priorities and promises

- ICAL Electronics documentation
- Delays and Synchronisation scheme
- Timeline for the 8m×8m engineering model
- Location of components and their integration issues
  - For example, LTMs on the detector?
  - Anita’s suggestion for trigger signal fan-out
- Chip selection, long-term availability, our policy
- Collision issues on the data network
- Discussion on data interface scheme (VME/LVDS, network scheme (MCU inside FPGA Vs Arm9 processor etc.))
- Power consumption, do we need multi-hit TDC?